Performance depends on how work gets done, not where.

The critical determinant of success is no longer location, but the measurable structure and tooling that enable high performance.



FROM THE US

BY SAM NAFICY
CEO of Prodoscore

As organizations navigate a climate defined by measured hiring, closer scrutiny of AI spending, and the emotionally charged debates surrounding return-to-office mandates and new AI policies, a fundamental shift in workforce dynamics is already underway. For too long, productivity debates have anchored on location - the office versus the home - clouding the more critical variables at play. New behavioral intelligence data from Prodoscore suggests that the true determinants of performance are not where work happens, but how that work is structured and which tools employees actively utilize.

This realization is accelerating the necessary shift to performance-first workforce management. In a more selective labor market, characterized by slower hiring and greater scrutiny of every resource, executives and HR leaders can no longer rely on sentiment or anecdotal evidence. Instead, they are turning to objective, behavior-based signals, such as activity intelligence data streamed from everyday collaboration and business tools, to gain clarity on how work actually gets done. This visibility offers a strategic advantage, transforming the perennial debate over work location into a focused discussion on optimizing human capital to maximize output.

The AI productivity dividend

One of the clearest signals emerging from this performance-first view is the significant and growing productivity gap between employees who use AI tools and those who don't. The integration of AI into daily workflows is no longer a future-state concept; it is an immediate performance differentiator. Prodoscore's analysis of activity intelligence data from more than 25,000 employees found that AI users were 19% more productive than non-users, and those using AI four or more days a week saw gains of up to 32%.

For leaders, identifying this gap is a crucial first step in a forward-looking talent strategy. Policy alone won't close it, since three of the five most-used workplace AI tools are consumer platforms operating outside IT oversight. Organizations need to embed AI tools and training into their operational fabric, ensure equitable access to technologies that fundamentally augment human output, and understand how those tools are actually being used. Failing to do so risks creating a two-tiered workforce in which a significant portion of the talent pool operates at a stark competitive disadvantage. Many leaders will be tempted to treat this as a risk problem and respond with tighter controls, which leaves the productivity question unanswered. A performance-first approach doesn't have to choose between managing AI risk and capturing its productivity gains, since visibility into which tools employees actually use supports both and lets leaders direct resources toward the adoption that drives results.

Reframing hybrid work as a performance strategy

The second major area where objective data challenges conventional wisdom is the structure of hybrid work. Debates about Return to Office (RTO) mandates often center on cultural arguments or managerial preference, yet behavioral data consistently points to hybrid work as a superior performance model. Prodoscore data shows that hybrid teams consistently outperformed both fully in-office and fully remote models.

It's time to make RTO decisions based on performance strategy. When designed intentionally, a hybrid model leverages the best attributes of both environments: the focused, uninterrupted work often facilitated by remote settings, and the high-bandwidth collaboration and social connection fostered by the office. Performance-first leaders view hybrid work not as a scheduling headache but as the optimal operational model for certain teams, providing the flexibility needed to maximize individual contributions and team collaboration. This requires moving past broad, often arbitrary, company-wide mandates to adopt a nuanced approach, using objective data to determine the ideal blend of in-person and remote time based on actual output and collaboration patterns.

Shifting decisions from sentiment to signals

The common thread uniting AI adoption strategies and optimal hybrid design is a commitment to objective, behavior-based signal data over subjective sentiment. For years, workforce decisions have been influenced by managerial intuition, self-reported surveys, and static HR records. While these factors have a place, the increasing complexity of modern work, with teams distributed across geographies and time zones and using dozens of disparate digital tools, demands a more rigorous, data-driven approach.

By shifting the frame, organizations can:

- **De-risk Hiring:** In an era of measured hiring, understanding the capacity that already exists on a team, alongside output and responsibilities, helps leaders see where current talent can take on more before hiring for a new role;
- **Optimize Team Design:** Data on inter-team collaboration, tool usage, and performance can reveal hidden bottlenecks or opportunities for reorganization that org charts alone cannot. It ensures teams are designed around the work that needs to be done, rather than historical departmental silos; and
- **Enhance Resource Allocation:** Understanding which tools genuinely drive efficiency and which create digital noise enables leaders to allocate budget and training resources more effectively and evaluate technology investments by how they are actually used.

As cautious hiring remains the norm and AI is embedded in the daily workflows of top performers, the need for clearer, objective visibility into real work patterns is paramount. A performance-first approach is not merely a trend; it is the necessary framework for evaluating performance, designing resilient teams, and allocating resources strategically in the digitally transformed future of work. The organizations that succeed will be those that realize the critical determinant of success is no longer location, but the measurable structure and tooling that enable high performance. ■

SAM NAFICY is the CEO of Prodoscore in Los Angeles, California, US.